

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/698,736	10/26/2000	Shahram Mostafazadeh	NSC1P194/P04836	7520	
22.0.	90 04/11/2002 VED & THOMAS I I	D.	EXAM	INER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP P.O. BOX 778			THAI, LUAN C		
BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2827		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner Luan Thai 2827 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addrass Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The member of internet promition and state of the contraction of the contr					
Examiner Luan Thai 2827 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence addrass Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exemensor districts from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than brinty (30) styre, is easy, which the databagy minimum, or shortly from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than brinty (30) styre, is easy, which the databagy minimum, or shortly from the national date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than brinty (30) styre, is easy within the databagy minimum, or shortly from the national date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than brinty (30) styre, is easy within the databagy minimum, or shortly less than the national date of the scanning of the period of the scanning of the scanni		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Elementor of firm ruply be reliable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a ruply be timely filed after SIX (s) MONTHS from the maining date of the communication. The provision is objected to by the Examiner. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statistic, cause the application to become AGMADONED 133 1.25 (§ 13.5). Any reply received by the Office ident and their months are the mainter provise and the tree communication is become AGMADONED 133 1.25 (§ 13.5). **Any reply received by the Office ident and their months are the mainter provise and the tree mainter provise and the tree communication for the provise of the communication is provided as the communication for their provise and the communication for the communication for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) ○ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 5) ○ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are allowed. 7) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 11 □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved by the Examiner. 12 □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 13 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 30 □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 10 □ Certified copies o	,	09/698,736	MOSTAFAZADEH, SHAHRAM		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENDE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION and the second of the properties of the communication of 30°CR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled and the properties of the properties of the communication. and the properties of the properties of the communication. and the properties of the properties of the communication. and the properties of the properties of the communication. and the properties of the	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 OFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled when SK (6) MONTH'S from the provisions of 37 OFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled when SK (6) MONTH'S from the maintain statutory period will apply and will expense SK (6) MONTH'S from the maintain statutory period will apply and will expense SK (6) MONTH'S from the maintain statutory period will apply and will expense SK (6) MONTH'S from the maintain statutory period will apply and will expense SK (6) MONTH'S from the maintain statutory period will apply and will expense SK (6) MONTH'S from the maintain statutory period will apply and will expense SK (6) MONTH'S from the maintain statutory period will apply and will expense SK (6) MONTH'S from the maintain statutory period will apply and will expense SK (6) MONTH'S from the maintain statutory will expense SK (6) MONTH'S from the maintain statutory will be considered to the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seasoned packet to maintain statutory will expense any seasoned packet to maintain statutory will expense any seasoned packet to maintain statutory will expense any seasoned packet to the communication of the communication of the communication of the communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits of closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on sis/are objected to by the Examiner. 11) The drawing(s) filed on sis/are objected to by the Examiner					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CPR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date than thiny (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of they (30) days will be considered limely. If No Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory profession (50) (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Fallure to reply within the set or ordered period for reply will, by statutory minimum of they (30) days, will be considered limely. Any reply received by the Office and they then the men seeming date of the communication, even if through that, may reduce any activate profession of the set of control of the communication, even if through that, may reduce any activate profession in the set of control of the communication, even if through that, may reduce any activate profession of the set of the communication, even if through that, may reduce any activate profession of the set of the communication of the communication, even if through that, may reduce any activate profession of the set of the communication of the communication, even if through that, may reduce any activate profession of the set of the communication of the communication, even if through that, may reduce any activate profession of the set of the communication of the communication, even if they discovered any reduced any activation of the communication of the	_	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the procisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. If the period's reply specified are reply and the process of the proces	• -	OLY 10 OFT TO EVOIDE 2 MOI	NTU(C) EDOM		
This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statement of the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. in Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. in Priority application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 January 2002 .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 11-20 is/are pending in the application.	2h\□	This action is non-final.			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayre, 1935 C.D. T1, 493 G.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	a) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	closed in accordance with the practice une Disposition of Claims		. 11, 455 O.G. 215.		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
6) Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 ○ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-20</u> is/are rejected.				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	• •				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	e Evaminer		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by the	nce See 37 CFR 1 85(a).		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Applicant may not request that any objection	is: a) approved b) di	sapproved by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	in reply to this Office action.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	•				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		C LACTITION.			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. 99 119 and 120	roign priority under 35 U.S.C. 8	s 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		reigh phonty under do didici			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	, ————————————————————————————————————	ments have been received.			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	application from the Internations * See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.		
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	The translation of the foreign language	e provisional application has be	een received.		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-3-40) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-3-40) 6) Other:	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	(8) S) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)		

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group II, claims 11-20 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-10 have been canceled (paper No. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara (6,358,778) in view of Glenn (6,247,229).

The figures and reference numbers referred to in this office action are used merely to indicate an example of a specific teaching and are not to be taken as limiting.

Regarding claims 11-14, Shinohara teaches (specifically see figures 4A-4C, Col. 5, lines 34+ and Col. 6, lines 1+) a method for packaging integrated circuits, comprising: providing a lead frame (1-2) of conductive material with a plurality of lead posts 2 and a connecting sheet 1 connecting the plurality of lead posts 2; electrically and mechanically attaching first die 4 with a conductive side of the die facing the plurality of lead posts of the lead frame, wherein the step of attaching die 4 to the lead frame comprises placing a conductive epoxy 12

Art Unit: 2827

between conductive pads on the die and the lead posts 2; and encapsulating the die with an encapsulating material 7. The method further comprises the step of removing the connecting sheet 1 to electrically isolated the plurality of lead posts from each other (figures 3A-4B, Col. 6, lines 1+). Shinohara does not disclose the method for forming a plurality of chip packages including the step of singulating the packages.

Glenn while related to a similar semiconductor packages design teaches (see specifically figures 2-13) a method of forming a plurality chip packages comprising: a step of attaching a plurality of first dice 28 to the lead frame 20 and electrically connected the dice 28 to the lead posts 24; encapsulating the plurality of dice with an encapsulating material 32 (Col. 5, lines 30+) and singulating the encapsulated first dice (Col. 6, lines 4+). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Glenn's teachings to Shinohara's method by forming the encapsulated array and then separating individual packages in order to increase the product quantity and reduce the product cost.

4. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara (6,358,778) in view of Glenn (6,247,229) and further in view of Nakashima et al. (5,075,760).

The figures and reference numbers referred to in this office action are used merely to indicate an example of a specific teaching and are not to be taken as limiting.

Art Unit: 2827

Regarding claim 15, the proposed method of Shinohara and Glenn teaches all the steps of the claimed invention as detailed above except for the step of testing the integrated circuit packages before the step of singulation.

Nakashima et al. while related to a similar method of making integrated circuit packages teach the step of package testing being performed before the step of singulation (Col. 4, lines 25+, figures 1-10). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Nakashimat et al.'s teachings to the proposed method of Shinohara and Glenn in order to perform the testing step before the step of singulation.

The further citations of claims 16-19 would have been obvious for the similar reasons set forth in the discussion of claims 11-14 above.

5. Claim 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara (6,358,778) in view of Glenn (6,247,229) and further in view of Wang et al. (6,258,626).

The figures and reference numbers referred to in this office action are used merely to indicate an example of a specific teaching and are not to be taken as limiting.

Regarding claim 20, the proposed method of Shinohara and Glenn teaches all the steps of the claimed invention as detailed above except for the step of attaching a plurality of second dice to the plurality of first dice, wherein each second die has a conductive side and a side opposite the conductive side, wherein the side opposite the conductive side of each second die is connected to

Art Unit: 2827

a side opposite the conductive side of the first die, and wherein wires are bonded conductive pads of second dice to lead posts.

Wang et al. while related to a similar method of making integrated circuit packages teach (figures 1-8, specifically see figures 3-8) a method of making a stacked chip package comprising a step of attaching a first die 110 to the lead posts 124, wherein the first die 110 is flip-chip bonded to the lead posts 124; back-to-back attaching a second die 130 to the first die 110, wherein wires 132 are bonded the conductive pads on the conductive side of the second die 130 to the lead posts 122. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Wang et al.'s teachings to the proposed method of Shinohara and Glenn by back-to-back attaching a plurality of second dice to the plurality of first dice, wherein wires are used to electrically connect the conductive pads on the conductive side of the second die to the lead posts of the lead frame in order to form stacked chip packages.

5. Tamaki et al.(6,157,080) also teach a process of making a stacked chip package identical to Wang et al.'s process; therefore, claim **20** is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shinohara (6,358,778) in view of Glenn (6,247,229) and further in view of Tamaki et al. for the similar reasons detailed above.

Art Unit: 2827

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Luan Thai whose telephone number is (703) 308-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Luan Thai March 28, 2002

> PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2827